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- **Why Springfield?**
  - Springfield has one of the highest rates of concentrated poverty in the nation.
  - Springfield’s challenges are similar to other old manufacturing cities across the nation.

- **Objective:** Support ongoing efforts to revitalize City of Springfield

- **Our focus:** bring economic improvement for city residents, especially those in impoverished neighborhoods

http://www.bostonfed.org/commdev/springfield/
Springfield and Hartford share a similar challenge: increasing employment of residents in order to reduce the numbers living in poverty.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>Share of population aged 16+ that is employed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bridgeport</td>
<td>60.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hartford</td>
<td>51.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Haven</td>
<td>57.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providence</td>
<td>57.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springfield</td>
<td>51.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterbury</td>
<td>57.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worcester</td>
<td>58.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Unlike Bridgeport or Waterbury, Springfield and Hartford do not lack jobs within their city limits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>Job Density (Jobs per 100 residents aged 16+)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridgeport</td>
<td>44.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hartford</td>
<td>128.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Haven</td>
<td>76.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providence</td>
<td>85.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springfield</td>
<td>67.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterbury</td>
<td>52.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worcester</td>
<td>73.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Lessons from Resurgent Cities: Methodology

- Choose peer group based on population, manufacturing intensity, and regional role
  - Cities that were similar to Springfield in the past
  - 1960 largely pre-dates urban declines

- Measure Springfield’s progress against peers
  - Resurgent cities as meaningful benchmark
  - Any obvious advantages or disadvantages for Springfield?

- Perform case studies of resurgent cities
  - Detailed examination of city histories
  - Identify common themes

- Draw lessons for Springfield and other older manufacturing cities
Criteria for selecting 25 peer cities:
- manufacturing employed 30%+ residents in 1960
- population of 100,000-250,000 in 1960-80
- remained primary city in metro area (MSA)
Criteria for selecting 10 resurgent cities:
- median family income: level in 2005-07 and change in ranking since 1960
- poverty rate: level in 2005-07 and change since 1980
- population: percent change since 1960 (secondary criterion)
- reputation as vital community
Median family income in the resurgent cities averages 86 percent of the U.S. median, about $11,000 higher than the other cities’ average.

Median family income as a share of the U.S. median

- Average resurgent cities
- Springfield
- Average other cities
- Hartford
Average poverty in the resurgent cities has risen only modestly, in sharp contrast to other cities.
Why did the resurgent cities do better than Springfield or Hartford?

- Some cities may have benefited from their geographic location, but geography does not account for overall patterns.
  - Three other southern NE cities (New Haven, Providence, and Worcester) are in resurgent group.
  - Resurgent and non-resurgent cities co-exist within states.
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Why did the resurgent cities do better than the other peer cities?

- Some cities may have benefited from their geographic location, but geography does not account for overall patterns.
  - Three other southern NE cities (New Haven, Providence, and Worcester) are in resurgent group.
  - Resurgent and non-resurgent cities co-exist within states.

- Initial manufacturing intensity mattered (but does not account for Springfield’s or Hartford’s lack of resurgence).

- Lack of dramatic racial/ethnic changes may have helped in some cases, but several resurgent cities experienced dramatic changes in their racial/ethnic composition.
Resurgent cities have undergone less dramatic racial change than other peer cities. Average difference is not large, and New Haven and Providence are exceptions.

### Race (percentages of total residents)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Resurgent cities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evansville</td>
<td>93.4</td>
<td>86.1</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Wayne</td>
<td>92.6</td>
<td>78.4</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Rapids</td>
<td>91.7</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greensboro</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jersey City</td>
<td>86.5</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>35.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Haven</td>
<td>85.1</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peoria</td>
<td>90.5</td>
<td>67.8</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providence</td>
<td>94.2</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>34.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winston-Salem</td>
<td>62.9</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worcester</td>
<td>98.8</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springfield</td>
<td>92.3</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>25.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hartford</td>
<td>84.5</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All cities</td>
<td>87.4</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resurgent cities</td>
<td>87.0</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other cities</td>
<td>87.6</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Decennial Census, American Community Survey 2005-07
Resurgent cities have, on average, a smaller percent increase in Hispanic residents, but shares vary substantially within groups.

Hispanic population  
(percentages of total residents)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>1980</th>
<th>2005–07</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Evansville</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Wayne</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Rapids</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greensboro</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jersey City</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>27.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Haven</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>24.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peoria</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providence</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>36.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winston-Salem</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worcester</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springfield</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>33.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hartford</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>41.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All cities</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resurgent cities</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other cities</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>18.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Decennial Census, American Community Survey 2005-07
Why did the resurgent cities do better than other peer cities?

- Discount role of geography.
  - New Haven, Providence, and Worcester are in resurgent group.
  - Resurgent and non-resurgent cities co-exist within states.

- Eliminate one obvious answer: industry mix.

- Acknowledge racial and ethnic changes in other cities, but point to some resurgent cities that have experienced similar changes.

- Look more closely at city histories.
Methodology for case studies

- Extensive search through available materials, including books, reports, and newspaper articles.

- Preparation of 3-page summary
  - Philosophy of “tell it like it is”
  - Vetting by local expert(s)
Major Themes

- Lead and collaborate
- Transform the economy
- Develop human capital
- Extend prosperity and involve the community
Collaboration #1: Initial leadership can come from any sector, but collaboration needed to sustain resurgence.

- **Evansville and Providence**: Mayors at forefront, work with businesses and nonprofits was key

- **Jersey City**: Private developers at forefront

- **Providence**: Support from local and national foundations and nonprofits (Important presence of national foundations like Annie E. Casey Foundation’s Making Connections initiative)

- **Greensboro**: Local foundations initiated a collaborative process to determine economic development strategies

- **Grand Rapids**: Key role of philanthropists and committed entrepreneurs
Collaboration #2: Successful cities promote themselves. Regional and local economic development organizations with city focus.

- Institutionalized relationship between Economic Development Agencies and the City via funding and representation on board of directors

- Chambers of Commerce and business organizations have been involved in broad aspects of economic development including education and workforce development programs
Collaboration #3: Higher education institutions often play key roles in city economic development.

- New Haven: Yale became involved in 1980s and 1990s.
  - Before then, “Yale did what Yale does best: retreat inside its walls and lock its doors.”
  - Some contributions since then:
    - Substantial payments in lieu of property taxes
    - Renovation of university-owned downtown properties
    - Financial incentives for university employees to buy homes in city.

- Worcester: consortium of colleges and universities in early 2000s
  - Similar activities as Yale.
  - Additional contributions include opening campuses downtown, working on brownfield remediation.
Economic Transformation #1: Modernization of existing assets is necessary (but insufficient).

- Resurgent cities provide many examples of successful regional airport expansions, road improvements, and waterfront redevelopment.

- High-speed broadband also important.
Economic Transformation #2: Pursue opportunities to re-invent the city

- Peoria: from one-company town to “major medical powerhouse” via dedicated zone

- Winston-Salem: from tobacco town to creative city via emphasis on Internet connectivity

- Jersey City: from manufacturing and transportation center to “Wall Street West” via redevelopment of outdated land
Economic Transformation #3: Adapt to changing circumstances.

- Long-term planning is essential

- Worcester: broaden life sciences focus in order to create more jobs. Learn from mistakes (mall, airport).

- Fort Wayne: as success wanes, add entrepreneurship focus.

- Greensboro: broaden employment focus from low-paying service sector jobs in order to improve income growth.
Developing human capital: essential in a knowledge economy.

- Greensboro: community colleges provide job skills training, universities partner with business to develop innovative products and skills.
Extending Prosperity: This is the hardest task of all.

- Providence Plan: joint venture of City, state, academic community, and local businesses to promote children’s well-being, workforce development, and community building.

- Jersey City: expanding development beyond the waterfront has required creating opportunities for greater community involvement.

- Involve neighborhood groups in city planning.
  - Grand Rapids: All-American City award for community consensus approach
Lessons from Resurgent Cities: Findings

- Industry mix, demographic composition, and geographic location are **not** the key factors distinguishing resurgent cities from other peer cities.

- Resurgent cities provide valuable lessons on leadership and collaboration.

- Fundamental transformations take place over decades.

- Educational institutions and foundations play key roles in extending benefits of resurgence to poor neighborhoods.
Conclusion:

- No inherent obstacles for Springfield to become as successful as the resurgent cities.
- Resurgent cities provide fresh ideas.
- Urgent need to improve civic infrastructure.
- *Do the lessons from resurgent cities apply equally to Hartford?*